INDEX

Of

WITNESSES

| Defense' Witnesses | Page |
|---|----------------|
| KAWABE, Torsshiro (resumed) | 33785 |
| Cross (cont'd) by Mr. Tavenner Cross (cont'd) by Mr. Furness | 33786 33798 |
| Redirect by Mr. SHIMANOUCHI | 33800 |
| MORNING RECESS | 33808 |
| Redirect (cont'd) by Mr. SHIMANOUCHI | 33809 |
| (Witness excused) | 33810 |
| MATSUI, Iwane, (an Accused) | 33811 |
| Direct by Mr. Mattice | 33811 |
| Cross by Brigadier Nolan | 33837 |
| NOON RECESS | 33839 |
| Cross (cont'd) by Brigadier Nolan | 33840 |
| Question by the Acting President of | |
| the Tribunal, Major-General Myron C. Cramer | 33841 |
| Cross (cont'd) by Brigadier Nolan | 33842 |
| AFTERNOON RECESS | 33861 |
| Cross (cont'd) by Brigadier Nolan | 33863 |

24 NOVEMBER 1947

INDEX

Of

EXHIBITS

| Doc. | Def. No. | Pros. | Description | For In Ident.Evidence |
|------|-------------|-------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 4188 | | 3497 | Interrogation of KAWABE, Torashiro | 33795 |
| 2738 | 3498 | | Affidavit of MATSUI, Iwane | 33812 |

Monday, 24 November 1947

4 5

INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL
FOR THE FAR EAST
Court House of the Tribunal
War Ministry Building
Tokyo, Japan

The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjournment, at 0930.

Appearances:

For the Tribunal, all Members sitting, with the exception of: HONORABLE JUSTICE SIR WILLIAM F. WEBB, Member from the Commonwealth of Australia and HONORABLE JUSTICE R. B. PAL, Member from India, not sitting from 0930 to 1600.

For the Prosecution Section, same as before. For the Defense Section, same as before.

(English to Japanese and Japanese to English interpretation was made by the Language Section, IMTFE.)

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International
Military Tribunal for the Far East is now in session.

TORASHIRO KAWABE, recalled as a
witness on behalf of the defense, resumed
the stand and testified through Japanese
interpreters as follows:

MR. TAVENNER: If the Tribunal please.
ACTING PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

MR. TAVENNER: We are informed that the accused MATSUI is in court this morning, and we are discussing now the matter of completing the testimony in his phase. It is my suggestion that upon the completion of the testimony of the witness in the box, we proceed to complete the NATSUI phase. I think it is the safest thing to do in view of the state of health of MATSUI, and I understand there is no objection from defense counsel in the OSHIMA phase.

ACTING PRESIDENT: How does the counsel for OSHINA feel about that?

MR. CUNNINGHAM: There is no objection on our part on that course of action.

ACTING PRESIDENT: How about the counsel for MATSUI?

MR. TAVENNER: He was here a moment ago and I understood he was ready to proceed. It has been our

understanding all the while that when he was able to be in court counsel would proceed, subject, of course, to the approval of the Tribunal.

ACTING PRESIDENT: The Court prefers to have the evidence of each accused put on all at once without any interruption whenever it is possible. In view of the situation here with MATSUI, the accused MATSUI, the majority would like to hear it at the conclusion of the testimony of the witness now on the stand.

MR. TAVENNER: Mr. Marshal, will you notify counsel for MATSUI of the decision of the Court.

ACTING PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. TAVENNER (Continued):

Q General KAWABE, what countries did Ambassador OSHIMA visit in addition to England and Belgium?

I have no recollection.

What did Ambassador OSHIMA tell you that the ambassadors in England and Belgium would do with regard to advising the Foreign Office in Japan as to the course that should be followed with respect to the proposed alliance?

A I heard nothing from OSHIMA regarding that.

Did Ambassador OSHIMA return to Berlin between visits to these two countries, or were they both

1

3

4

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

| visi | ted on | the s | same | trip' | ? |
|-------|---------|--------|-------|-------|-----|
| | A I | n my 1 | ecol | lect | Lon |
| | Q P | lease | fix | the c | lat |
| peri | od of | its du | rati | on. | |
| | Λ Ι | have | no c | lear | re |
| impre | ession | which | rema | ains | in |
| a ve | ry vagu | ie one | | it wa | s |
| | Q Wa | s it | not 1 | prior | to |
| | A I | regre | t to | say | the |
| | Q We | s it | befor | re or | a |
| of th | ne ITO | Commi | ssion | 1? | |
| | ΛΙ | think | it v | vas a | fte |
| I car | not sa | y def | init | ely. | |
| | Q Wo | uld t | his h | nelp | you |

, it was at one time.

e of this trip and the

collection of that, but the my mind is -- and this is yet spring in 1939.

February 25, 1939?

at I cannot recall.

fter the arrival in Berlin

er, but even this is unclear.

ar recollection: Was the ITO Commission present in Berlin when this trip was made?

I do not think the ITO Mission was in Berlin at the time.

· Q Who accompanied the Ambassador?

I think the Ambassador brought one of the secretaries of the Embassy, but I do not think any special person accompanied him.

Q Did OSHIMA travel incognito?

A I think not. I don't think that happened.

Do you recall at some later date that Ambassador

OSHIMA called a conference of ambassadors and ministers in Berlin; and if so, what is the date of this conference?

A I have no recollection of a conference of ambassadors and ministers in Berlin.

Q On Friday afternoon, page 33,779 of the transcript, you stated that your official duties required you to transmit views and opinions of the Ambassador to the army in Japan. Did you fully perform this duty while you were Military Attache?

A Yes, I carried it out to the best of my ability.

Q Was it your practice and did you confer with the Ambassador before sending your messages to Japan?

A I have never shown the Ambassador the messages which I transmitted to Japan.

Q But did you discuss them with the Ambassador before you sent them?

A Customarily, these messages were transmitted after sufficient study of various problems dealt with in the messages had been studied in the Embassy. Discussions were held in the Embassy among myself, the Naval Attache, and staff members of the Embassy, and various issues or problems were put under study at these discussions, and after fully understanding the

2

3

5

-

0

9

10

11

13

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
, 1
     aims and intentions of the ambassadors, these messages
  2
     were sent out.
  3
  4
  5
  6
  7
  8
  9
 10
 11
 12
1 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
```

views and attitude?

| 1 | Q Were your messages on occasion sent to War |
|----|--|
| 2 | Minister ITAGAKI? |
| 3 | A No, not once. |
| 4 | Q Did War Minister ITAGAKI on occasions com- |
| 5 | municate with you? |
| 6 | A Yes, once. |
| 7 | Q Tell us about it. |
| 8 | A Yes. |
| 9 | Q Let me interrupt you. Is that the same |
| 10 | occasion that you spoke of in your affidavit? |
| 11 | A Yes. |
| 12 | Q Well, having already stated that, I will not |
| 13 | ask you to repeat it. Were there any other occasions |
| 14 | when you received a message from the War Minister |
| 15 | |
| 16 | A I couldn't understand that last question. |
| 17 | |
| 18 | May I have it repeated? |
| 19 | THE MONITOR: Japanese court reporter. |
| 20 | MR. TAVENNER: It will be shorter for me to |
| 21 | ask the question over. |
| 22 | Q Were there any other communications received |
| 23 | by you from War Minister ITAGAKI? |
| 24 | A No. |
| 25 | Q General KAWABE, was OSHIMA pro-Nazi in his |

Please answer.

I could not express his attitude or views by the word "pro-Nazi."

CROSS

Did he express to you -- strike that question. What do you mean, you cannot express his views?

I cannot explain in the sense of his being pro-Nazi.

"ell, isn't it true that he was pro-Nazi?

A No, I do not say so.

Now, as a matter of fact didn't he express his pro-Nazi views to you?

Ambassador OSHIMA impressed me as having good will toward the nation in which he was stationed, that is to say Germany, but he has never at any time expressed any sentiments of pro-Nazism.

I will ask you another question from your interrogation referred to yesterday. In view of your answer to my last two questions I desire to ask you if at the time of the interrogation mentioned in the early part of your cross-examination the following questions were asked you and you made the following answers to them:

"Q You would, therefore, say that OSHIMA was pro-Nazi in his attitude, would you not?

"A Yes.

2 3

1

4

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

"Q Did OSHIMA express to you his pro-Nazi attitude?

"A Yes. He told us many things about the good points of Nazi ideology. General OSHIMA was a man who had spent many years in Germany, spoke German proficiently, and knew Germany and the Germans well, was very fond of them, and was extremely pro-German in his ideas. He was very fond of Hitler, and his views and Ribbentrop's seemed to coincide. I don't believe he got along very well with Goering."

Were not those questions asked and those answers made?

A What I now recall in connection with this question and answer is that, as you will see, at the first part and the latter part of this statement said to have been made by me there is some confusion between Nazi and Germany. When I was stationed in Germany that country was completely under the domination and control of the Nazi, and so when I was asked this question in the Meiji Building I used the word "Nazi" in speaking of Germany -- I took the word "Nazi" to mean Germany in my answers.

Q Now, will you answer my question? Did you make that answer or not? Answer that question and then make such explanation as you desire to make.

A I have a recollection that such questions, such types of questions and answers took place; but I do not recall whether or not the phraseology or words were actually those that were just quoted to me.

Q All right. Now, you drew a distinction between Germany and the Nazi Party. When you made the statement in this interrogation that OSHIMA's views and Ribbentrop's seemed to coincide you meant with the Nazi views, didn't you, because you well knew that Ribbentrop's views were the Nazi views?

A I am speaking of the character of von Ribbentrop as the character of the German Foreign Minister.

4 5

A I have a recollection that such questions, such types of questions and answers took place; but I do not recall whether or not the phraseology or words were actually those that were just quoted to me.

CROSS

Q All right. Now, you drew a distinction between Germany and the Nazi Party. When you made the statement in this interrogation that OSHIMA's views and Ribbentrop's seemed to coincide you meant with the Nazi views, didn't you, because you well knew that Ribbentrop's views were the Nazi views?

A I am speaking of the character of von Ribbentrop as the character of the German Foreign Minister. Leftler & Wolf

MR. TAVENNER: I offer in evidence IPS document No. 4188, which is the interrogation of this witness, supported by certificate of A. A. Muzzey, interrogator, and to which is attached certificate of Lieutenant Commander F. B. Huggins as interpreter and Hannah Kato as stenographer. As the pertinent parts have been read to the witness in the course of the cross-examination I do not think it necessary to take the time of the Court in reading them again.

ACTING PRESIDENT: Are you going to enter the entire interrogation or just excerpts therefrom?

MR. TAVENNER: I did offer the entire interrogation, but I think it would be more proper to only offer the excerpts. However, I have no objection to the entire interrogation; so I think I should limit the tender to the excerpts which have been mentioned.

ACTING PRESIDENT: Should you not introduce or tender the entire document for identification?

MR. TAVENNER: Yes, sir.

ACTING PRESIDENT: Then the excerpts in evidence later.

MR. TAVENNER: Yes, sir. I make the tender in compliance with this suggestion.

ACTING PRESIDENT: The entire document may be admitted for identification only.

CLERK OF THE COURT: The interrogation of KAWABE, Torashiro will receive exhibit No. 3497 for identification only.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution exhibit No. 3497 for identification.)

MR. TAVENNER: Now, if it please the Tribunal, I think it would save considerable time and work if instead of introducing excerpts later that I read now into the transcript what would be those excerpts and let that be the end of the matter.

ACTING PRESIDENT: Haven't you practically done that already?

MR. TAVENNER: I have, sir. The only problem with which I am faced is to make certain that those matters are in evidence as distinquished from merely being in evidence for identification. It will only require one or two minutes to read those two excerpts.

ACTING PRESIDENT: That would probably be the most practical way, but I would like to hear from counsel for the accused first.

MR. SHIMANOUCHI: The defense objects to

.

t

4

A

5

6

7

8

10

12

13 14

15

16

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

this method of presentation of evidence by the prosecution.

Our reasons for objecting is first that this document has not been identified by the witness. Furthermore the witness has testified that there are some parts of this interrogation which are contrary to what he actually said.

Furthermore I object to the prosecution presenting its evidence during the defense phase of the case.

ACTING PRESIDENT: So far as the objections are concerned, the witness has accepted the statements made on that interrogation. The two excerpts that you questioned the witness on may be introduced in evidence and you may read them into evidence.

The objections are overruled.

MR. TAVENNER: I read on page 7 the following questions and answers:

"Q You would therefore say that OSHIMA was pro-Nazi in his attitude, would you not?

"A Yes.

"Q Did OSHIMA express to you his pro-Nazi attitude?

"A Yes, he told us many things about the good points of Nazi ideology. General OSHIMA was a

2

4

*

7

8

9

11

13

14

15

17

19

20

22

23

24

25

man who had spent many years in Germany, spoke
German proficiently and knew Germany and Germans
well, was very fond of them and was extremely proGerman in his ideas. He was very fond of Hitler and
his views, and Ribbentrop's seemed to coincide. I
don't believe he got along very well with Goering."

The second excerpt is as follows and appears on the same page and follows the excerpt just read:

"Q To the best of your knowledge how friendly was General OSHIMA with Heinrich Himmler, and do you know of any occasions when they met socially or otherwise?

"A Yes, they were friendly and I believe they met quite often, although perhaps not in an official capacity. Under ordinary circumstances I believe they saw a great deal of one another."

ACTING PRESIDENT: You don't seem to save much time by this procedure and we do not want to take it as a precedent. We would prefer that hereafter you submit such evidence in the form of excerpts as heretofore.

MR. TAVENNER: Very well, sir.

There are no further questions.

ACTING PRESIDENT: Mr. Furness.

MR. FURNESS: For the defendant SHIGEMITSU.

man who had spent many years in Germany, spoke
German proficiently and knew Germany and Germans
well, was very fond of them and was extremely proGerman in his ideas. He was very fond of Hitler and
his views, and Ribbentrop's seemed to coincide. I
don't believe he got along very well with Goering."

The second excerpt is as follows and appears on the same page and follows the excerpt just read:

"Q To the best of your knowledge how friendly was General OSHIMA with Heinrich Himmler, and do you know of any occasions when they met socially or otherwise?

"A Yes, they were friendly and I believe they met quite often, although perhaps not in an official capacity. Under ordinary circumstances I believe they saw a great deal of one another."

ACTING PRESIDENT: You don't seem to save much time by this procedure and we do not want to take it as a precedent. We would prefer that hereafter you submit such evidence in the form of excerpts as heretofore.

MR. TAVENNER: Very well, sir.

There are no further questions.

ACTING PRESIDENT: Mr. Furness.

MR. FURNESS: For the defendant SHIGEMITSU.

ACTING PRESIDENT: Is this cross-examination?

MR. FURNESS: Yes, sir.

CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued)

BY MR. FURNESS:

General KAWABE -
ACTING PRESIDENT: I didn't hear your answer.

MR. FURNESS: I said, "Yes, sir."

Q General KAWABE, after Ambassador OSHIMA's trip to England and Belgium did you hear from him or from anyone else that Ambassador SHIGEMITSU had expressed his approval of the proposed Pact?

MR. TAVENNER: Objection is made to the question on the ground that it was answered yesterday and there was nothing uncertain and nothing left to be cleared up in regard to that matter.

MR. FURNESS: If the Court please -ACTING PRESIDENT: You mean as of Friday,
not yesterday.

MR. FURNESS: If the Court please, according to the record -- I think it may not be correct -- it says that "Ambassador OSHIMA told me that in his impression most of the heads of missions did not clearly express their approval." And Ambassador KURUSU, of whom you just inquired, was included among these heads of missions. Then it goes on and

7 8

says that most of the heads of these missions did not clearly express their approval and that Ambassador KURUSU is mentioned and not Ambassador SHIGEMITSU.

ACTING PRESIDENT: Objection overruled.

MR. FURNESS: Will the question then be repeated to the witness.

(Whereupon, the Japanese court reporter

A No, I have not.

read.)

MR. FURNESS: That is all.

ACTING PRESIDENT: Fr. Shimanouchi.

MR. SHIMANOUCHI: Last Friday, I forgot to ask the witness if the affidavit, the revised affidavit, defense document 2652, court exhibit 3469 -- I forgot to ask the witness if the contents thereof were true and correct. With the Tribunal's permission, I should like to be permitted to ask this question.

ACTING PRESIDENT: Go ahead and question him.

> MR. SHIMANOUCHI: I ask the witness. REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. SHIMANOUCHI:

I ask you, Mr. Witness, if the contents of the affidavit -- of your revised affidavit, defense document 2562, which is now court exhibit 3496, which I presented to this Tribunal and read last Friday, are true and correct?

> A Yes.

In reply to a question put to you by the prosecutor, you said that you never heard that OSHIMA ever met Himmler, but when you were interrogated in the Meiji Building on the 6th of April, 1946, you replied that you believed that they met quite often. It appears that the reply which you

2

1

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23 24

made then contradicts the reply which you made later.

I should like your explanation on this point.

A The answer, which I gave at the time of my interrogation in the Meiji Building in April, last year, was based on my observations and presumptions. In other words, it was my observation and impression that General OSHIMA was long a resident in Germany and, consequently, had many friends in that country. At that time, Himmler was one of the important and influential leaders of Germany, and naturally I supposed that General OSHIMA was on friendly terms with him and had opportunities to meet him quite often.

The question put to me by the prosecution, in my understanding -- that is, the question put to me by the prosecutor last Friday was, in my understanding a question of fact, whether I knew whether OSHIMA and Himmler actually were friends or that OSHIMA and Himmler had a meeting or meetings, and it was to that question that I replied, and hence I do not believe that there is any inconsistency in those two answers.

Q Did you see or hear of OSHIMA meeting
- Himmler towards the end of January, 1939?

A No, I know nothing.

)

Q You also made a reply to a question put to you by the prosecutor, as follows: After reaching Berlin, you often consulted with OSHIMA in regard to negotiations going on between Japan and Germany for the conclusion of a treaty. You also replied that your views were in agreement with those of OSHIMA.

When you talked with OSHIMA on this question, did you talk to him alone, or were others present at the meeting?

A I believe that Ambassador OSHIMA took into consideration and study, as reference, the views and opinions of others in regards to this question. With regards to this question, whenever I participated in Ambassador OSHIMA's study of the question, the meetings were held in a room in the embassy or in a room in the official residence of the Ambassador. Participating in the meeting at all times were the consular and other staff members of the embassy, the naval attache, and myself.

ACTING PRESIDENT: You have answered the question.

Q During the course of your cross-examination, you stated that OSHIMA was of the belief that in the case of a war between Germany and Great Britain,

3

4 5

,

7 8

9

11

12

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21

23

25

Japan should shoulder the obligation of fighting Great Britain.

Did OSHIMA advocate that, in the case of an Anglo-German war, Japan should actually, immediately organize a war, mobilize her army, and fight Great Britain?

A Ambassador OSHIMA, in this case, advocated the necessity of Japan participating as an obligation, in principle, but participation in war, in his sense, was vague and broad. He did not mean that armed forces immediately should be used to render assistance to Germany.

Q Did OSHIMA desire or advocate the necessity of Japan's becoming embroiled in the war, should such a war break out in Europe between Germany and Great Britain?

MR. TAVENNER: Objection, if your Honor please. The matter was answered in cross-examination.

THE INTERPRETER: The witness started to reply, "He neither desired nor - -"

ACTING PRESIDENT: Objection sustained.

Kapleau & Knapp

Q Why did OSHIMA say that Japan should shoulder the obligation of fighting Great Britain if an Anglo-German war should break out?

A He gave the following explanations: It was the general contention that when Japan and Germany should conclude such an assistance pact, then the Japanese side should shoulder the same obligations which Germany would shoulder.

What do you mean by equal obligations?

A In other words it means this, that whereas in the event of a possible Japanese -- Anglo-Japanese war, the German side agreed to participation in the war in principle. I am speaking of the fact that the situation should not be unilateral; that if the German side should, in the event of an Anglo-Japanese war, shoulder obligations to participate in that war in principle, then in the event of a German -- Anglo-German war, the Japanese would in principle not recognize such obligations.

That would be a very superficial and unilateral view.

Q Did Japan's obligations to participate in the war include the obligation to participate when Germany attacked Great Britain, or was it restricted solely to a case where Germany was attacked by Great Britain?

MR. TAVENNER: If the Tribunal please.

ACTING PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

9

10

11

13 14

15

17

18 19

20

21

23

24

IR. TAVENNER: It appears to me that the redirect examination is going far beyond the cross-examination and is of a highly hypothetical nature.

ACTING PRESIDENT: Yes, and somewhat repetit

ACTING PRESIDENT: Yes, and somewhat repetitious.

MR. SHIMANOUCHI: May I reply, your Honor?

ACTING PRESIDENT: You may.

MR. SHIMANOUCHI: I do not believe that my redirect is exceeding the bounds of cross-examination.

The prosecutor has charged that OSHIMA advocated the signing of a treaty that was aggressive, and therefore it is always important that the defense should prove that this treaty was not offensive in character, but defensive.

Secondly, the prosecutor has charged that my question was hypothetical, but I was asking the witness actually under what circumstances Japan would be obliged to enter the war, and I was not dealing with hypotheses; I was dealing with actualities.

Furthermore, in relation to this question, on record page 33,774 counsel for the prosecution asked the following question:

"Did not you and OSHIMA advocate that if Germany should be involved in a war with Great Britain, ' Japan should participate in this war?" and to this question the witness replied:

4

5

6

IR. TAVENNER: It appears to me that the redirect examination is going far beyond the crossexamination and is of a highly hypothetical nature.

ACTING PRESIDENT: Yes, and somewhat repetitious.

MR. SHIMANOUCHI: May I reply, your Honor?

ACTING PRESIDENT: You may.

MR. SHIMANOUCHI: I do not believe that my redirect is exceeding the bounds of cross-examination.

The prosecutor has charged that OSHIMA advocated the signing of a treaty that was aggressive, and therefore it is always important that the defense should prove that this treaty was not offensive in character, but defensive.

Secondly, the prosecutor has charged that my question was hypothetical, but I was asking the witness actually under what circumstances Japan would be obliged to enter the war, and I was not dealing with hypotheses; I was dealing with actualities.

Furthermore, in relation to this question, on record page 33,774 counsel for the prosecution asked the following question:

"Did not you and OSHIMA advocate that if Germany should be involved in a war with Great Britain, ' Japan should participate in this war?" and to this question the witness replied:

13 14

11

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

ACTING PRESIDENT: You have argued that far enough. We take up too much time arguing these questions of admission of evidence. I think you have gone into this matter most thoroughly.

Objection sustained.

2

3

6

11 12

10

13

14 15

16 17

18 19

21

22

20

23 24

25

During the course of your cross-examination you stated that you knew that OSHIMA submitted his views in regard to the proposed pact to the Japanese Government, but that you didn't know whether he urged his views on the government. But, on the other hand, you have also testified that OSHIMA urged the Japanese Government to accept his views in regard to the pact. Which reply is correct?

If my memory serves me right, the latter answer I am said to have given is to the effect that whether I stated OSHIMA had prevailed upon the Japanese Government to accept his views and opinions on the pact, I think my expression was that Ambassador OSHIMA urged the Japanese Government to accept his views and opinions, and my answer was "Yes" to the question whether or not he had urged the Japanese Government, and not whether he had prevailed upon or appealed to the Japanese Government to accept his views.

"hat does "urge" mean, practically speaking? MR. TAVENNER: If it please the Tribunal, it seems that the questions are becoming argumentative. The witness's answers are plain. I object to further questioning on that point in that manner.

ACTING PRESIDENT: I don't understand the

question. Will the court reporter read it?

(Whereupon, the last question was read by the official court reporter.)

Objection overruled.

BY MR. SHIMANOUCHI:

Q Please reply.

A I made my reply to the prosecutor which you have just read back to me in the following meaning, that is, with the following facts as the basis for my reply. The facts of which I speak are these: that after Ambassador OSHIMA submitted his views and opinions on the government, the return instructions of the government stating whether it was accepting or not accepting his views and opinions did not come for a long time.

ACTING PRESIDENT: We will recess for fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1045, a recess was taken until 1100, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

Reichers & Yelden

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

BY MR. SHIMANOUCHI (Continued):

Q Witness, please continue your reply.

ACTING PRESIDENT: You were asked the question, what you meant by urge. It does not require a long dissertation to answer that question. You can answer it in just a few words.

A It was to urge the Japanese Government to send a reply.

Q During the course of these negotiations did you know of any occasion when OSHIMA disregarded or disobeyed the instructions of the Japanese Government?

A No.

Q One final question. To return to the problem of Germany's becoming involved in a war if Japan were to shoulder the obligation of aiding Germany, in such a case under what circumstances would Japan be obliged to aid Germany?

MR. TAVENNER: If the Tribunal please, that dertainly is a hypothetical question, not based on any facts brought out in this witness' testimony. It presupposes going into matters which were not the subject of this man's testimony.

MR. SHIMANOUCHI: This question refers to the prosecutor's question asked on record page 33,774. As I said before, the prosecutor then asked the question, if Germany were to fight Great Britain, would Japan go to war, did OSHIMA advocate that Japan must participate in that war; and the witness replied that he thought Japan should shoulder the obligation of going to war. Therefore I asked the witness my present question to find out whether under such circumstances Japan was to go to war unconditionally or whether it was otherwise?

ACTING PRESIDENT: You have already gone into that. Objection sustained.

MR. SHIMANOUCHI: This concludes my redirect.

May the witness be excused on the usual terms?

ACTING PRESIDENT: He may be so excused.

(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)

Mr. Mattice.

MR. MATTICE: We now call the accused MATSUI, Iwane to the stand.

IWANE MATSUI, an accused, being first duly sworn, testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. MATTICE:

Q Mr. MATSUI, you are one of the accused in this trial, are you not?

A Yes, I am.

MR. MATTICE: May the witness be handed defense document 2738?

(Whereupon, a document was handed to the witness.)

Q Will you examine the instrument which has been handed you, defense document 2738, and tell this Tribunal whether that is your affidavit?

A This is my affidavit.

Q I understand there are some corrections which you desire to make in the affidavit.

A Yes, there are two corrections I should like to make.

O What is the first one?

A The first correction is to be made in paragraph numbered 6. This is page 12 of the English text of the affidavit, the second line, where it says "I left on the 20th," this should be, "I left

6'

8

9

2

3

4

5

10

12 13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

on the 21st."

The second correction is to be made on page 21 of the English text, the middle of the paragraph numbered 11. The pertinent passage is to be found on line 3 of page 21: "I went to Admiral Kaney," should read, "I went to Admiral Yarnell."

Q With those corrections, General, are the matters and things set forth in your affidavit true?

A Yes.

MR. MATTICE: Offer it in evidence, if the Tribunal please.

ACTING PRESIDENT: It will be admitted.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2738
will receive exhibit No. 3498.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3498 and received in evidence.)

MR. MATTICE: I will now read exhibit 3498, omitting the formal parts, beginning with the paragraph numbered 1:

"1. The motive and object of the Japanese Government for the despatch of its troops to the southern part of Chiangsu Province in 1937.

"Owing to the discord between Japan and China in North China in July 1937, an anti-Japanese

-

1

4

3

5

6

Q

9

10

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

MATSUI

2

4

5

7

8

9

10

12

13

15

16

18

20 21

22

23 24

25

movement among the Chinese army and civilians in Shanghai district grew intense day by day. The Chinese Army, disregarding the Truce Agreement concluded in 1932, persistently concentrated its troops around the Japanese settlement in Shanghai, threatened the Japanese troops and residents there, finally leading to the assassination of Sub-Lieutenant OYAMA on August 9, and the Japanese troops and residents were exposed to danger. Therefore, the Japanese Government, realizing the necessity of quickly reinforcing its naval force there in order to protect the lives and interests of Japanese residents, decided on 15 August to hurriedly dispatch to Shanghai an Expeditionary Force consisting of 3d and 11th (one brigade less) divisions. I was appointed the Commander in Chief and the troops were sent successively to Shanghai on board warships on and after 20 August of the same year.

The object and mission of the Expeditionary
Force was to reinforce our naval force and protect the
lives and property of our residents in and about
Shanghai.

"2. The reason why I, a reserve officer, was specially appointed Commander in Chief and the state of my mind at that time.

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

"During my forty years' service in the Army, i.e., from 1894, the year in which I entered Military Preparatory School, up to 1935, the year in which I was placed on the reserve list, I was in the following positions successively: A member of General Staff Headquarters, Chief of Second Section, General Staff Headquarters, Commander of 11th Division, Commander in Chief of the Taiwan Army, etc. During my military career, I was stationed in North and South China for about twelve years 'altogether and not only did I do my best during this time to bring about cooperation between Japan and China, but also, since my younger days all through my life I worked hard so that Japan and China could be on friendly terms and that Asia could be built up again. The greater part of my work in the Army was also in line with these ideals.

"In 1937, the Shanghai Incident broke out and the Expeditionary Force was despatched there in haste. The Minister of War himself told me that the reason why I, who had been on the reserve list, was appointed the Commander was because of my past experience referred to above.

"My appointment was due to the fact that at that time the Japanese Government's policy towards China was to settle the Incident locally as soon as

possible and to prevent the armed conflict from spreading.

2

1

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

"I was always firm in the belief that the strife between Japan and China was a quarrel between brothers in the so-called 'household of Asia' and that it was an unavoidable expedience for Japan to rescue by force the Japanese residents in China and to protect our endangered rights and interests. It was no different from an elder brother thrashing his young and recalcitrant brother after putting up with him for so long. The action was to make China come to her senses, not out of hatred, but out of love. Therefore, when I assumed the command of the Expeditionary Force I promised myself to settle the trouble between Japan and China on this belief and hoped to make the despatch of the Expeditionary Force not a cause of mutual enmity but something that would help to bring about friendly relations and cooperation between the two nations. So I demanded of my officers that they make every one of their men thoroughly understand the true meaning of the expedition in question. The following points were the gist of my instructions I gave to the troops upon their dispatch:

"(1) Fighting in the vicinity of Shanghai

possible and to prevent the armed conflict from spreading.

2

1

4 5

. 6

7

9

10

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

20

22

23

24 25

"I was always firm in the belief that the strife between Japan and China was a quarrel between brothers in the so-called 'household of Asia' and that it was an unavoidable expedience for Japan to rescue by force the Japanese residents in China and to protect our endangered rights and interests. It was no different from an elder brother thrashing his young and recalcitrant brother after putting up with him for so long. The action was to make China come to her senses, not out of hatred, but out of love. Therefore, when I assumed the command of the Expeditionary Force I promised myself to settle the trouble between Japan and China on this belief and hoped to make the despatch of the Expeditionary Force not a cause of mutual enmity but something that would help to bring about friendly relations and cooperation between the two nations. So I demanded of my officers that they make every one of their men thoroughly understand the true meaning of the expedition in question. The following points were the gist of my instructions I gave to the troops upon their dispatch:

"(1) Fighting in the vicinity of Shanghai

is only aimed at the subjugation of the Chinese troops challenging us, therefore, the Chinese officials and people should be pacified and protected as much as possible.

"(2) Always bear in mind not to bring troubles upon any foreign residents and troops and keep in close contact with the foreign authorities and armies in order to avoid misunderstanding.

"3. The fighting situations in and about Shanghai. The Shanghai Expeditionary Force arrived one unit after another in Maan Islands at the mouth of the Yangtze River on and after August 22. At this juncture a report was received that the troops and residents in Shanghai were in danger. So at dawn on August 24 I tried hurriedly to disembark the arriving troops at Woosung and also along the bank farther up the river to establish communications with the Japanese Naval Force, by driving out the Chinese troops who were occupying the position there.

"However, according to the reports received the number of the Chinese troops stationed in Shanghai and along the banks of the Yangtze River, west of Shanghai, was approximately estimated at a hundred thousand and they sought our landing troops everywhere and made violent attacks. After 15 or 16 days of bitter fighting and at great sacrifice, the Expeditionary Force finally succeeded in securing a position along the bank. But the Chinese counter-attacks intensified more and more, and their force, being reinforced from Nanking and Hangchow areas, reached more than 30 or 40 divisions. In meeting this, our Expeditionary Force was also reinforced in proportion and on November 5, 10th Army-(more than 3 divisions) under Lt.-Gen. YANAGAWA was landed on the

.7

- 23

-24

11

coast of Chekiang Province to cooperate with the Force.

Thus, the Expeditionary Force, after fighting desperately for more than two months, was barely able to drive the Chinese Army out from the vicinity of Shanghai and to occupy the city towards to end of October and the beginning of November, giving security to the Japanese residents.

"During the fighting what attracted my attention especially were as follows: The anti-Japanese sentiment of the Chinese officials and people around Shanghai was very strong, and the guard unit of Chiang Kai-shek was most dering in counter-attacks.

vising troops, other various units also resisted
stubbornly but were finally driven back in confusion.
Before their retreat, the Chinese troops adopted the
so-called 'clearance tactics' and either destroyed or
burnt main transportation facilities and buildings. Some
of them changed their uniforms to plain clothes, and
turned guarillas, snaping it our soldiers and threatening
our rear. The local people also cooperated with their
troops by cutting telegraph wires or arranging signal
fires, jeopardizing our troops to no end. I was also
avere of many instances where the troops and nationals
of Ergland, America, France, etc., in sympathy with the

Chinese troops, intentionally gave assistance to them and obstructed our military movements in many ways.

Furthermore, I felt keenly that the above-mentioned Chinese attitude and the long and bitter fighting had estranged the Chinese Army and people in Central China from the Japanese Army, causing a hostile feeling between the two. Meanwhile, I instructed my officers and men to give protection and be decent to the Chinese people and to have a regard for the foreign rights and interests in China. As one example of the results of this instruction the battle in Nanshi (southern district of Shanghai) ended, as I had ordered, without causing any damage to the district.

"4. The Organization of the Central China Area Army and the circumstances that lead to the decision to attack Nanking.

"Immediately after the 10th Army's landing at Hangchow Bay on 5 November 1937, what was the Shanghai Expeditionary Force and the 10th Army were organized into the Central China Area Army. I was then appointed the Commander of this new Army. For a while I served as the Commander of the Shanghai Expeditionary Force concurrently.

"The Central China Area Army Headquarters was over the Shanghai Expeditionary Force Headquarters and the 10th Army Headquarters, and its mission was to unify the

DIRECT 33,820

command of these two units. However, since it had only seven staff officers, its duty was limited to giving operational instructions to the two headquarters, and had no authority to dispose the entire intendence and medical matters of the army in general.

"Therefore, after I was relieved from my concurrent post on December 7, i.e., the Commandership of the Shanghai Expeditionary Force, my relation with the officers and men in the field in regard to the command and supervision was entirely indirect.

"The Central China Area Army, after driving the Chinese Army out of the Shanghai area, occupied the line between Chiahsing in Chekiang Province, Soochow and Changehow in Kiangsu Province and sought to maintain peace and order in the Shanghai area.

"However, the Chinese Army, with Nanking as its base, kept up with the large-scale battles which were then in progress in North China and concentrated a great number of troops from other districts to prepare for attack against Japan in Kiangsu and Chekiang provinces. The situation grow so bad that unless the Chinese base of operations around Nanking was captured, it was impossible to maintain peace and order and to protect our interests in Central China. Consequently, Japan decided to capture Nanking to restore public peace in the southern part of

MATSUI

-

Chingsu province, and the Imperial Headquarters ordered our Central China Area Army to seize Nanking in cooperation with the Navy. Our army thus commenced a quick offensive operation against Nanking in spite of many difficulties.

"5. Measures taken at the time of capture of Nanking and the so-called cases of plunder and outrage in Nanking.

"In accordance with the standing policy of our government to localize the area of battle as much as possible, and because of my long years of idea to bring about cooperation and prosperity between Japan and China, I took, in capturing Nanking, ever precaution not to make this caupaign a cause of struggle for the entire Chinese population. As explained before, my experience in the fighting around Shanghai made me feel the necessity of this more than ever. Regarding the various precautionary measures I took at that time to maintain military discipline and morale, and my other actions to help to enforce discipline, I will not state again since the witness NAKAYAMA, Yasuto already testified thereof in detail.

"Notwithstanding my scrupulous care in capturing Nanking, in the busy and unsettled condition at that time, it may have been some excited young officers and men

regret and sorrow that I, afterward, heard rumors of such misconduct. At the time of capturing Nanking I was sick in bed at Soothow, some 140 miles away, and I was unaware of any such outrages committed contrary to my orders and received no reports thereof. After entering Nanking on 17 December, I heard about it for the first time, from the Commander of the Kempei unit, and I, at once, ordered every unit to investigate thoroughly and to punish the guilty men.

"However, it is a well-known fact that, in war time, the Chinese troops and some outlaws almost always commit acts of violence and looting by taking advantage of the confusion. Not a few of those crimes were committed by the Chinese troops and peoples when Nanking fell, so to hold the Japanese officers and men responsible for all the crimes is to distort the facts.

"A ceremony for entering Nanking was held on 17 December, and on the following day a memorial service for the dead was quietly held at the airfield. On the 19th I inspected through the city accompanied by 15 or 16 officers and men, but fires had already been put out and the streets were calm, with many refugees returning to their homes. We saw only about twenty dead Chinese troops lying on the streets and the order within the

city was generally being restored. But the water works, electric facilities and important governmental and municipal buildings had been destroyed by the Chinese troops before the entry of the Japanese Army and there were comparatively few fires, the number of fire-destroyed houses being approximately 50 or 60.

city was generally being restored. But the water works, electric facilities and important governmental and municipal buildings had been destroyed by the Chinese troops before the entry of the Japanese Army and there were comparatively few fires, the number of fire-destroyed houses being approximately 50 or 60.

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MATSUI

Whalen & Mors

"In short, during my stay in Shanghai after the fall of Nanking until February, 1938, the only thing I heard was a rumor towards the end of December 1937 to the effect that there were some cases of illegal acts in Nanking but I had received no official report about such fact. I hereby definitely state that the U.S. Army's broadcast in Tokyo after the war's end concerning the alleged large-scale massicre and outrage as has been asserted by the prosecution in this Court was the first time that I ever heard anything about it. After I heard the broadcast I tried to investigate the activities of our army subsequent to our capture of Nanking, however, the responsible persons at that time were already dead or detained and punished overseas, while the documents concerned were destroyed by fire. It is impossible to go back ten years and investigate and study the true situation in detail.

"It is possible that a great number of Chinese soldiers and civilians were killed or wounded by bombs, artillery shalls and rifle bullets during the Nanking campaign, but I do believe that there is not a bit of truth in the prosecution's charge that there were cases of planned massacre in the fight of Nanking. Nothing can be farther from the truth than the slander that the staff of the Japanese Trmy ordered or tolerated the

above deeds.

"In view of the situation at that time, it is needless to say that I did everything in my power as commander of the Central China Area Army to take measures to prevent the occurrence of such unfortunate incidents to give severe punishment to the guilty and to compensate for the damages.

"However, it is to my great regret that the result was not perfect due to the hectic condition of wortime. (The contributing factors were that I was sick in bed at Suchow when Nanking was captured; that I stayed only five days in Nanking before leaving the city; that as the Commander of the Central China Area Army I had no direct authority over the officers and men in the field, as explained before).

"Staying for five days after entering Nanking on 17th December, I left on 21st December for Shanghai by water because of the necessity of commanding the Army in operation in the Chikiang area and after that I stayed in Shanghai. Here I was very busy in disposing the aftermath of war, such as negotiating with the local Chinese officials with regard to the maintenace of general peace and order and taking relief measures for the people; and getting in contact with the commanders

-

above deeds.

"In view of the situation at that time, it is needless to say that I did everything in my power as commander of the Central China Area Army to take measures to prevent the occurrence of such unfortunate incidents to give severe punishment to the guilty and to compensate for the damages.

DIRECT

"However, it is to my great regret that the result was not perfect due to the hectic condition of wortime. (The contributing factors were that I was sick in bed at Suchow when Nanking was captured; that I stayed only five days in Nanking before leaving the city; that as the Commander of the Central China Area Army I had no direct authority over the officers and men in the field, as explained before).

"Staying for five days after entering Nanking on 17th December, I left on 21st December for Shanghai by water because of the necessity of commanding the Army in operation in the Chikiang area and after that I stayed in Shanghai. Here I was very busy in disposing the aftermath of war, such as negotiating with the local Chinese officials with regard to the maintenace of general peace and order and taking relief measures for the people; and getting in contact with the commanders

of the British and American navies and the other foreign military and civilian officials in the area to look after whatever incidents that occurred during the campaign. This was because the Central Chinese Area Army, after the complete occupation of Nanking and after my return to Shanghai, was ordered by the central authority to concentrate its efforts in securing the entire areas south of the Yangtze and East of Nanking, especially the neighborhood of Shanghai.

"Incidentally, when I heard the rumor of outrages in Nanking after returning to Shanghai, I issued
a warning again to the officers and men then staying
in Nanking by despatching especially one of my subordinate
staff officers at the end of 1937 and ordered a thorough
investigation of the rumor and quick punishment for anybody found to be guilty. However, up to the time of my
leaving the post, I received no authentic reports concerning the above matters.

"Besides the maintenance of public peace and order in the above-mentioned occupied areas, I felt the necessity of negotiations for a general peace movement with the Government of Chiang Kai-shek. I urged the Chinese key officials in the neighborhood of Shanghai to make efforts in this connection and especially despatched envoys to Foochow and Canton and had them

communicate with Chen yi and Sung Tzu-wen. However, simultaneously with the reorganization of the Central Chinese Area Army in the latter part of February, I was dismissed from the post of the Army Commander and returned to the home. I am still regretting the fact that I had missed the chance of continuing my efforts for attaining the above object.

"7. The fact that a meeting was held among attaches in Berlin in 1929:

"When I was dismissed from the post of Chief of 2nd Section, General Staff Headquarters in December, 1928, I thought of making a trip to various countries in Asia and in Europe, and in January, 1929, I started on my tour of inspection of French Indo-China, Siam, British Malaya, India and other European countries.

Just when I was passing Berlin in April, 1929, military attaches stationed in various nations in Europe, taking the opportunity of my arrival there, got together with the object of renewing friendship. It was not an official conference and there was no particular purpose.

"It was presided over by Major General OMURA, Yurin, attache in Berlin, and the meeting was of social nature. It was not a conference called and sponsored by me. Moreover, as I mentioned before, at that time I had already been dismissed from the post of Chief of 2nd

Section, General Staff Headquarters and, as a mere lieutenant general, I had no official power to sponsor a conference among the attaches of various embassies. In short, it was only a social meeting and nothing was decided upon with regard to the problems of the time, and no particular items were on the agenda. It was merely an informal, round-table discussion at which the attaches expressed their opinions on the situations in Europe. Accordingly, no minutes were taken and I had made no report thereof to my superior after my return to Japan. At the meeting I was seated in the seat of honor only as a visitor who came to that part of the world from afer. On this point, the contents of the prosecution exhibit No. 733 is contradictory to the statement I made to the prosecution. I find what seem to be mistranslations in the records of investigation prepared by the prosecution.

"8. The relation between my appointment as a member of the Supreme War Council or a cabinet advisor and the foreign policies of the Government:

"A supreme war councillor is mainly given a temporary mission regarding the education and training within the Army and he is not to meddle in anything at any time, especially in foreign affairs.

"A cabinet advisor was appointed mainly as a

23

17

18

19

21

22

24

MATSUI

consultative agency because of the political situations in Japan and abroad in those days, and had no substantial authority. Such being the case, while I was in these positions, my opinion was never taken up concerning the China and Asiatic problems, etc., and I myself never offered my own opinion.

"9. The object of the Greater /sia /ssociation which was established by me and the account of its activities, especially the true picture of the Asia movements for which I negotiated with Mr. Chin Te-chun in Peipin:

Asia invaded by Europeans and Americans, and I had been praying for the reconstruction of Asia by the people of Asia. In view of a marked alienation between Japan and China, since the Manchurian Incident, it was my desire that the peoples of these two nations look at the whole situation instead of being sentimental about small matters and misunderstanding each other. So, in order to give impetus to the movement of the 'Greater Asianism' among interested persons in Japan and China, I established the Greater Asia Association together with men of the same views in 1933. This was not a political organization but it was a kind of an organization to study social culture. Its object was to reconstruct Asia by

3 4

spreading the principle of Wang Tao-- the idea which has been transmitted for thousands of years from generation to generation in China and Japan, by bringing about a state of co-existence and co-prosperity for the entire /siatic people; and finally by contributing to the humanity as a whole in its peaceful development. (Defense document No. 2234). The number of Japanese members of the Association had reached more than two thousand but, due to the lack of funds, it was unable to do anything special.

"In 1935 and 1936 I personally saw China, travelling south and north, and endeavored for the accomplishment of this movement, planning for a campaign with my old friends in China. But for years in China the 'Greater Asianism' had already been widely advocated by Sun Wen, the former President of the Chinese Republic. So, China wanted to propagandize the 'Greater Asianism' by herself. Hoping that her movement and ours in Japan would be in line with each other in reaching the common objective, I talked with the interested men in Peipin and Tientsin in the fall of 1935, and in the spring of 1936 there was established 'the Chinese Greater Asia Association' among the learned in North China. It is true that in this regard I pursuaded Mr. Chin Te-chun, then the mayor of Peipin. However, the contents of the

```
affidavit presented in this Court the other day by

Mr.Chin do not agree with his words and statements at

that time (defense document No. 2234). Besides, our claim

was not necessarily to drive out Europeans and Americans

from Asia. What I advocated was that the Europeans and

Americans, who were our friends and wanted to cooperate

with us in bringing about happiness to the Asiatic

people, should join us in our idea of co-proseperity

and co-existence. My statements issued in those days

will verify this fact. (Defense documents No. 2500,

2501, 2628.)
```

V

```
ffidavit presented in this Court the other day by
  Mr. Chin do not agree with his words and statements at
 2
  that time (defense document No. 2234). Besides, our claim
  was not necessarily to drive out Europeans and /mericans
  from Asia. What I advocated was that the Europeans and
  Americans, who were our friends and wanted to cooperate
  with us in bringing about happiness to the /siatic
  people, should join us in our idea of co-proseperity
  and co-existence. My statements issued in those days
  will verify this fact. (Defense documents No. 2500,
  2501, 2628.)
11
```

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

"10. The object and activities of the Dai Nippon Asia Development League and the Dai Nippon Asia Development Association.

4

5

3

1

6

8

7

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

22

23 24

25

"The Dai Nippon Asia Development League was established at the time of the First KONOYE Cabinet, combining then numerous organizations engaged in developing Asia. The Imperial Rule Assistance Association was just organized also, and the league was organized into and came under the supervision of this association in order to act in accordance with the government's foreign policy. However, due to the frequent changes of cabinets caused by the development of our domestic and foreign policies, the Asia Development League was constantly compelled to reorganize itself and change the sphere of activities. All it could do and did was to get in contact with various cultural organizations in China and Manchukuo with a view to asking their cooperation. Besides that nothing concrete was accomplished. The reason why I was in the position of vice-president or adviser of the League from the beginning of its establishment was due to my past relation with the Greater Asia Association ever since it came into existence.

"As the result of the frequent changes in the organization of the above-mentioned Asia Development

MATSUI

1 2

3

5

6

7

8

10

12

13

15 16

17

18

20

21

23

24

25

League, it was reorganized and changed its name as Dai Nippon Asia Development Association at the time of KOISO Cabinet in 1944. As to its organization and activities, it was placed under the supervision and guidance of the government, but the body itself was of cultural nature, composed of interested civilians in the truest sense of the term. With the progress of the Pacific War, however, the inconvenience in communications and the acute situation at home and abroad prevented it from starting any concrete movements, and the result was that it was only able to publish its organ and give some guidance for the students and citizens from various countries in Asia residing in Japan. because of my past connection with this association, I took charge of its management, but the war came to an end before long, and I was obliged to dissolve it without making any great contribution to it.

"ll. The Ladybird Incident and other foreign affairs.

"About the 12th December 1937 I received a report that some artillery unit belonging to the 10th army bombarded a British gunboat in the neighborhood of Wuhu. I ordered my Chief of Staff to investigate it immediately. According to his report, about the 11th of December the Chinese troops were retreating on

MATSUI DIRECT 33,834

the Yangtze River by large and small ships, and many of their ships were deceitfully displaying foreign flags. Hereupon, Lieutenant General YANAGAWA, Commander of the 10th army, ordered firing upon these ships with the retreating Chinese soldiers on board. So when Colonel HASHIMOTO located several ships sailing with the Chinese soldiers in the morning of 12th on the fog-covered Yangtze River, he opened fire. The Ladybird happened to be among this group of ships.

"Accordingly I immediately ordered the Commander of the 10th Army to tender his apology to the British Naval Commander-in-Chief there. I, myself, returned to Shanghai from Nanking, called on Admiral Little of the British Navy without delay and apologized to him for the incident. He fully understood my intention and promised me that he would transmit my apology to his government.

"The bombing of the U.S.S. Panay was executed by a Navy plane by mistake, and the plane was not under my command and I had nothing to do with it at that time. However, since it was an unfortunate incident caused by the Japanese forces, I went to admiral Yarnell, the U.S. Navy Commander, without delay upon my return to Shanghai and expressed my regret to him, thus obtaining his understanding about the above event.

)

"I protected peaceful people and respected the rights and interests of foreign powers was as mentioned before. After completing somehow the Shanghai and Nanking battles I visited admiral Little of the British Navy and Admiral Yarnell of the U. S. Navy, and tried to promote a better understanding between them and myself. I expressed my regret to them concerning unfortunate losses suffered by England and America and their governments and peoples. I also met the French Ambassador and the French Navy Commander-in-Chief and exchanged opinions about what to do with the French Concession and Nanshi, and we reached an understanding.

"I expressed my profound gratitude to Reverend Jackinough who did a great deal in giving protection to the residents in Nanshi, and contributed ten thousand yen to him for his work. In this way I tried to alleviate the bitter effect of war.

"12. Casualties on both sides at the front in the southern area of the Yangtze River, and the tribute paid to the dead.

"The number of the Japanese officers and men who were killed or died from diseases during the time of the fighting at Shanghai, Nanking, etc., reached more than 21,000, and together with the sick and DIRECT 33,836

wounded the number of casualties amounted to more than 80,000.

"I deny that there were many cases of massacre as maintained by the Chinese witnesses, but I think there were many victims of Chinese soldiers and people during that period, and among them there were not a few who suffered from cholera, typhoid fever, dysentery, etc. prevailing at Shanghai and in the Chinese Army at that time. Actually the number of Japanese officers and men contracted these diseases reached several hundred, and more than one hundred soldiers died of them.

of Chinese and Japanese ought to have cooperated with each other as brothren in the nature of things, it was indeed a calamity that they should have struggled against each other at the cost of a tremendous number of lives, and I cannot help but feel profound regret for this sad event.

"It was my fervent hope that the incident would give an opportunity for the two races to live in harmony, and that those who sacrificed their lives would serve as a cornerstone of new Asia.

"After returning home I built a temple near my temporary abode at Mt. Izu, Atami, and enshrined

MATSUI

4 5

MATSUI DIRECT 33,836

wounded the number of casualties amounted to more than 80,000.

"I deny that there were many cases of massacre as maintained by the Chinese witnesses, but I think there were many victims of Chinese soldiers and people during that period, and among them there were not a few who suffered from cholera, typhoid fever, dysentery, etc. prevailing at Shanghai and in the Chinese Army at that time. Actually the number of Japanese officers and men contracted these diseases reached several hundred, and more than one hundred soldiers died of them.

"To my way of thinking, when the two races of Chinese and Japanese ought to have cooperated with each other as brethren in the nature of things, it was indeed a calamity that they should have struggled against each other at the cost of a tremendous number of lives, and I cannot help but feel profound regret for this sad event.

"It was my fervent hope that the incident would give an opportunity for the two races to live in harmony, and that those who sacrificed their lives would serve as a cornerstone of new Asia.

"After returning home I built a temple near my temporary abode at Mt. Izu, Atami, and enshrined

the souls of those victims of the two countries and prayed for the repose of their souls. Moreover, I built the statue of Kwannon, the Goddess of Mercy, in the precincts of the temple with the soil brought from the blood-covered fields of battle in the southern area of the Yangtze River. And with the help of her great virtues, I have been day and night offering, like other people who have faith in this Kwannon, prayers for the repose of all the souls of friends and foes, for the light of East Asia, and finally for the coming of the peace of the world.

"On this 14th day of October, 1947."

Signed by "MATSUI, Iwane."

You may cross-examine.

ACTING PRESIDENT: Brigadier Nolan.

BRIGADIER NOLAN: May it please the Tribunal.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY BRIGADIER NOLAN:

Q General MATSUI, I propose to ask you some questions arising out of the statements contained in your affidavit, exhibit 3498, taking up the matters in the order in which they appear in the affidavit itself. Have you in your possession now the original of your affidavit in Japanese?

A I do not.

the souls of those victims of the two countries and prayed for the repose of their souls. Moreover, I built the statue of Kwannon, the Goddess of Mercy, in the precincts of the temple with the soil brought from the blood-covered fields of battle in the southern area of the Yangtze River. And with the help of her great virtues, I have been day and night offering, like other people who have faith in this Kwannon, prayer's for the repose of all the souls of friends and foes, for the light of East Asia, and finally for the coming of the peace of the world.

"On this 14th day of October, 1947."

Signed by "MATSUI, Iwane."

You may cross-examine.

ACTING PRESIDENT: Brigadier Nolan.

BRIGADIER NOLAN: May it place the Tribunal.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY BRIGADIER NOLAN:

Q General MATSUI, I propose to ask you some questions arising out of the statements contained in your affidavit, exhibit 3498, taking up the matters in the order in which they appear in the affidavit itself. Have you in your possession now the original of your affidavit in Japanese?

A I do not.

BRIGADIER NOLAN: May the witness by sup-

plied, please, with it?
(Whereupon, a document was handed

to the witness.)

Now, in the first paragraph on page 2 of the English translation of your affidavit, it is stated that the Japanese Government decided on the 15th of August to hurriedly dispatch to Shanghai an expeditionary force, and that this expeditionary force consisted of the 3d and the 11th Divisions less one brigade of the latter division.

Before you left Tokyo to take command of this Shanghai Expeditionary Force did you ask for more divisions to be sent with you?

- A I desired that the divisions -- the number of divisions be increased.
 - Q That they be increased to five in number?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Why did you want them increased to five?
- into Japan that the number of Chinese troops in Shang-hai and vicinity was about 100,000, it was believed it would be appropriate if a strength of five divisions were sent in order to meet the situation.
 - Q As a matter of fact, the Shanghai Expeditionary

2

3

5

6

8

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

was taken.)

25

1 Force was brought up to a strength of five divisions, wasn't it? 2 A At first, as I have stated in my affidavit, 3 4 there were only one and a half divisions. But in 5 order to meet the demands of the situation in Shanghai 6 and vicinity, troops were gradually increased to the 7 point that the Shanghai Expeditionary Force alone 8 consisted of five divisions, and the YANAGAWA Army 9 of three divisions, bringing the total to eight divi-10 sions. 11 When did the Shanghai Expeditionary Force 12 arrive at the point where it contained five divisions? 13 A Luring the first part of October it reached 14 five divisions. 15 and the 10th Army landed in China on or about 16 the 5th day of November 1937? 17 Yes. 18 And that army, the 10th, was under the command 19 of General YANAGAWA? 20 Yes. 21 ACTING PRESIDENT: We will now adjourn until 22 1:30. 23 (Whereupon, at 1200, a recess 24

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

AFTERNOON SESSION

The Tribunal met, pursuant to recess, at 1330.

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International

Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

ACTING PRESIDENT: Brigadier Nolan.

I W A N E M A T S U I, an accused, resumed the stand and testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY BRIGADIER NOLAN (Continued):

Q General MATSUI, in your affidavit, in the English translation, at page 3, second paragraph, you make reference to the reason for your appointment as Commander-in-Chief of the Stanghai Expeditionary Force. During your military career you had spent approximately twelve years in China, had you not?

A Yes.

Q And what were your appointments during that time? Tell me quite briefly, please.

A In 1907 I was sent to Peking as Assistant
Military Attache. Approximately three years later I
was appointed military officer stationed in Shanghai
and went to Shanghai. After serving about three years
in Shanghai I returned to Japan for the time being.

Duda & Spra

10

12 13

14

16 17

18

19

21

22 23

24

-

| - 3 | |
|-----|---|
| 1 | In 1914 I went to China again and stayed there for |
| 2 | about four and one-half years correction 1915. |
| 3 | That was the time when Yuan Shih-kai had become the |
| 4 | Emperor, and during this time I cooperated with Sun |
| 5 | Yat-sen and other members of the Kuomintang in trying |
| 6 | to overthrow Yuan Shih-kai. |
| 7 | Q And during this four and one-half year period |
| 8 | what was your appointment? |
| 9 | A Military Attache in Shanghai military agent. |
| 10 | Q Military agent, General MATSUI? |
| 11 | A Yes. |
| 12 | Q Agent for whom? |
| 14 | A Military agent was a term then used for a |
| 15 | Japanese military officer stationed in Shanghai. |
| 16 | Q Now, during this period I take it you visited |
| 7 | Nanking? |
| 8 | A I went there |
| 9 | ACTING PRESIDENT: May I interrupt you, |
| 0.0 | Brigadier? |
| 1 | What is the difference between a military |
| 2 | attache and military agent, in their duties? |
| 3 | THE WITNESS: A military attache acts in |
| 4 | conjunction with the legation or embassy to which he |

is attached, but I, as military agent in Shanghai, was

under the direct control of the general staff and

24

1

3

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

worked independently.

BY BRIGADIER NOLAN (Continued):

And what were your duties?

A My duty was that of a military agent in Shanghai.

Q And amongst other of your duties was it to examine the terrain in the vicinity of Shanghai and Nanking -- the topography?

Yes, that was one of them.

And wasn't that the reason why, with your knowledge of the country, you were appointed commanderin-Chief in 1937?

I don't think my knowledge of the topography of the area was of great importance. I believe that the primal consideration in my appointment, in appointing me commander, was my friendship for leaders of the Kuomintang with whom I had become acquainted during my stay in Shanghai and Nanking.

Well, your knowledge of the area came in very useful later on, did it not?

There may have been occasions on which it was of service.

Q Now, you say on the same page of your affidavit that the intention was to settle the incident locally as soon as possible and to prevent the armed

2

1

5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

worked independently. BY BRIGADIER NOLAN (Continued):

And what were your duties?

A My duty was that of a military agent in Shanghai.

Q And amongst other of your duties was it to examine the terrain in the vicinity of Shanghai and Nanking -- the topography?

Yes, that was one of them.

And wasn't that the reason why, with your knowledge of the country, you were appointed commanderin-Chief in 1937?

I don't think my knowledge of the topography of the area was of great importance. I believe that the primal consideration in my appointment, in appointing me commander, was my friendship for leaders of the Kuomintang with whom I had become acquainted during my stay in Shanghai and Nanking.

Well, your knowledge of the area came in very useful later on, did it not?

There may have been occasions on which it was of service.

Now, you say on the same page of your affidavit that the intention was to settle the incident locally as soon as possible and to prevent the armed conflict from spreading. I suggest to you that before

you left Tokyo in 1937 you made known your desire to push on to Nanking after the capture of Shanghai?

I did have such thoughts at the time.

CROSS

At the time you left Tokyo? Q

A Yes.

3

2

6

7 8

9

10 11

12 13

14

16

17

18

19

20

22

24

Q Now, this "war of love" that you speak of at the foot of page 3 of the affidavit, what response did the Chinese make to these overtures of yours?

THE MONITOR: Will the court reporter read the question, please?

BRIGADIER NOLAN: If I may, I will redraw the question.

Q Did the Chinese resist your armies at every turn?

A Yes. But "resist" isn't the word. In the very beginning it was the Chinese that attacked us. They took the offensive.

Q Well, when you took the offensive, they resisted your offensive, did they not?

A Yes, of course.

Q Now, on page 4 you speak of the expeditionary force being a means of bringing about friendly relations between the two nations. Now, at this time, which was late in 1937, you were not in favor of continuing negotiations with General Chiang Kai-shek, were you?

A On one hand, our Japanese forces attacked the Chinese who threatened us, and on the other hand we conducted behind-the-scenes negotiations with Chiang and his regime for the conclusion of peace and conducted peace movements.

5

6 7

8 9

10

11 12

13 14

16 17

15

18 19

21

22

20

23

24 25

bid you advise your government to have nothing to do with Chiang Kai-shek at this time?

No.

Did you express strong opinions to that effect?

Since my thoughts were as I have just told you, to open peaceful negotiations with Chiang Kai-shek as quickly as possible, I did not voice such opinions.

Then your theory was to get on with the war in China as quickly as possible?

My thoughts were to crush as quickly as possible the Chinese force opposed to us in the Shanghai-Nanking area and immediately to open peace negotiations.

After they were crushed?

. A Yes.

Now, on page 6 of the English of your affidavit, General MATSUI, seven lines from the bottom, you make a very general statement of being "aware of instances where the troops and nationals of England, America, France, et cetera, in sympathy with the Chinese troops, intentionally gave assistance to them and obstructed our military movements in many ways."

What countries constitute the "et cetera" in that statement?

Almost all of the countries of Europe and America who were in or near Shanghai at the time were in sympathy with the Chinese. The country which was directly aiding the Chinese was Germany. There were many German advisers to the Chinese forces.

Q Did any of these countries which you have mentioned make war upon Japan?

A No country made war on Japan.

Q Your point is that they were out of sympathy with Japan's expedition into China?

A They were not only out of sympathy with the Japanese side, but were actually giving help to the Chinese side. Spiritual help, moral help goes without saying; they even gave actual material help.

Q Now, what did they give?

A If I give you all the facts, they will be many in number. But to give one or two examples, Great Britain, for instance, was furnishing the Chinese troops in the vicinity of Shanghai with food and supply, equipment and arms.

When the Japanese forces were attacking the Chinese battery at Woosung, a French warship intentionall went through the Japanese lines and hindered the Japanese attack on Woosung.

Q Where was the French warship going, or do you know?

A It was lying outside Shanghai Harbor. But

when the Japanese began attacking Woosung battery, it came out and went up the Yangtze River.

Q Now, in the fourth numbered paragraph of your affidavit, on page 7, you refer to the organization of the Central China Army.

4

6

7

8

9

MATSUI

L f 1 е W

ACTING PRESIDENT: You can hardly hear that over the I.B.M. I suggest you repeat your question, Brigadier.

I was saying that on page 7 of your affidavit in the section numbered 4, you make reference to the organization of the Central China Army.

ACTING PRESIDENT: That should be, "Central Area Army."

Q (Continuing) I beg your pardon.

And, on that page, you say, and I read it to you, "However, since it," that is, the Headquarters, "had only seven staff officers, its duty was limited to giving operational instructions to the two headquarters and had no authority to dispose the entire intendance and medical matters of the army in general." Now, by saying that it had no authority to dispose the entire intendance and medical matters, you mean, I take it, that it had no authority over such matters as rations, quarters, and pay, and medical services.

That is what I meant.

And, when you say, in the following paragraph at the top. of page 8, that your relation with the officers and men in the field, with regard

10 11

12 13

14 15

17

16

18 19

20 21

22

23

24

1 to the

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

22

24

25

to the command and supervision, was entirely indirect, by that you mean that you exercised command through the commanders of the Shanghai Expeditionary Force and the 10th Army.

CROSS

A Yes.

Q Because it is a fact that the 10th Army and the Shanghai Expeditionary Force were under your command.

A It is a fact that they were under my com-

Q Was the answer "yes"?
THE MONITOR: Yes.

on page 9 of your affidavit, about the middle of the page, you say that some excited young officers and men may have committed unpleasant outrages in Nanking.

Was there an answer?

A Yes, I said so. I did not see it with my own eyes, but I knew of it from reports.

Q Now, what were these unpleasant outrages?

A Rape, looting, forceful seizure of materials.

Q And murder?

A That, also.

And, from whom did you receive these reports?

A From the gendarmery.

2

5

.

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

15 16

17

18

20 21

22

23

24

25

Q Now, you explained to us that at the time of capturing Nanking you were ill in bed at Soochow, 140 miles away, and were unaware of the outrages committed. How did you hear that Nanking had fallen.

- A From reports.
- From whom?
- A From the Commander of the Army.
- Q And, who was he?

A From the reports of the Commander of the Shanghai Expeditionary Force, who was then General Prince ASAKA, and from the Commander of the 10th Army, Lieutenant General YANAGAWA.

The fact is that these two Army Commanders kept you in close touch with the progress of the operations, did they not?

A Yes.

And, you tell us that you heard about the outrages after entering Nanking on the 17th of December from the Commander of the Kempei Unit.

Did you receive reports from any other persons after you entered Nanking?

A When I went to the Japanese Consulate, I heard reports -- stories of similar nature from the consul.

Why didn't you put that in your affidavit? This is because I did not hear it as an official report. I merely heard stories from him about this in the course of our conversation. And, did he tell you that complaints had 6 been lodged by the foreign residents with that Japanese Consulate in Nanking? 8 That I did not hear. 9 What did you hear? 10 I heard from the Japanese Consul at Nanking 11 that among the officers and men of the Japanese 12 Army, which had entered Nanking, were some who had 13 committed outrages. 14 Q Did he mention any particular units or 15 divisions or armies? 16 The story -- our conversation did not go 17 into details such as those. 18 When did the conversation take place? 19 Well, I believe it was around the 18th or 20 19th of November -- of December. 21 4 And, did you also receive reports from your 22 Army Commanders and your Divisional Commanders, whon .

25

23

24

you were in Nanking?

What kind of reports?

Q Reports of outrages which had been committed

upon the Chinese population.

A No, I did not receive any such reports.

Q You were in this court when the witness NAKAYAMA gave evidence, and he was an intelligence officer of the Central China Area Army.

A Yes.

Q And, you heard him say that you received additional reports from Commanders and Divisional Commanders under your command, as well as from diplomatic organs. Was he wrong when he said that?

A I don't think NAKAYAMA said what you said that he said. Since I was the Commander of the Army, I received reports from the Commanders of the two Armies, but I did not receive reports from Divisional Commanders as they were not my direct subordinates.

Q Then, you did receive reports from the Commanders of the two Armies in Nanking immediately after your entry into the city.

A Yes.

Q You didn't put that in your affidavit either. Was it because it was a casual conversation?

A No, the reports that I received from the Commanders of the Armies were in regard to the general war situation. I did not receive any reports from them on outrages.

1 2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

13 14

15

16

18

19

20

22

23 24

3

4

6

7 8

9

11

13

15

17

18

20

21

23

25

Q Who was your Chief of Staff at this time, the 18th or 19th of December?

A My Chief of Staff at the time was a man who is already dead and for the moment I cannot recall his name. He was a major-general.

Q He was the officer who succeeded Lieutenant-General IINUMA.

A IINUMA was the Chief of Staff of the Area
Army and the Chief of Staff of the Shanghai Expeditionary Force was newly appointed by the General Staff
and arrived direct.

Q Well, I understood from the witness IINUMA that he had relinquished his post around or about the time of the capture of Nanking. Is that so?

A No, up to the capture of Nanking IINUMA was Chief of Staff of the Expeditionary Force.

Q Yes.

A And later in February of the following year when the Army was reorganized IINUMA was relieved and returned to Japan.

Q Well, whoever he was and whatever his name may have been, did your Chief of Staff immediately after the fall of Nanking tell you of outrages committed by troops under your command?

A He did report to me, saying that this was a

report he had received from the gendarmery, from the

 Kempei.

Q Was his name TSUKADA?

A Yes.

Q Now, we were told in this Court by the witness HIDAKA at page 21,453 of the record that reports of atrocities from foreign residents in Nanking were sent to the Foreign Office in Tokyo and to the Army in Nanking. Where would such reports go if they were sent to the Army in Nanking?

A Such reports should go to the Headquarters of the Shanghai Expeditionary Force; that is, to the Headquarters of General Prince ASAKA.

Q Now, when the witness NAKAYAMA at page 21, 927 of the record says that he thought that the reports of the foreign residents were reported to the Special Service Department of the Shanghai Expeditionary Force, where would that Special Service Department be in December, subsequent to the 17th day of that month, 1937?

A The Headquarters were in Shanghai, but I believe that a part of it had gone to Nanking.

Q And the Headquarters of the Shanghai Expedition ary Force were in Nanking?

A The Headquarters of the Shanghai Expeditionary

1 Force

Force were inside the walls of Nanking.

2

Q Where were the Headquarters of the 10th

4

Army?

A For a few -- one or two days I believe it

was in Nanking but it was moved to Hangchow in Chekiang.

5

Q When was it moved?

7

A I don't recall the exact date. I believe it was around the 20th.

8

10

11

Q Now, you tell us at the bottom of page 9 of your affidavit that hearing about these atrocities you at once ordered every unit to investigate thoroughly and to punish the guilty men. Did they report back to you the result of the investigations which you had

12 13

ordered?

ordered?

14 15

A As I have been telling you, each unit, specific unit, would not report to me directly. If I received any reports it would be from the commanders of the two armies.

17 18

19

20

16

Q I am perfectly aware of that. And what reports did you receive from the commanders of these two armies as a result of the investigations you had

21 22

A Up to my departure from Shenghai in February of the following year I had received no reports on these investigations.

23

24

4

5

6

8

9

10

12

14

15

16

17

19

18

20

22

24

Q Did you ask that they should be reported to you?

A Yes.

Q And what reply did you receive?

A The reply was that "We are now in the midst of investigations. As soon as investigations are completed we shall reply."

Q And you had received no reply up until the time you left China in the month of February, 1938?

A That is so.

Q Did the General Staff in Tokyo communicate with you regarding the conduct of your troops at Nanking?

A In regard to the movement of the troops?

Q In regard to the behavior of the troops.

A I am not aware of any communications from the General Staff addressed to me on that point.

Q Are you aware of any communications from any one in Tokyo addressed to any one that came to your knowledge in China?

A I know nothing at all about that. I do remember, however, that towards the end of January, 1938, when Major-General HOMMA was sent to my head-quarters from the General Staff, he said that the authorities in Tokyo were very worried about reports

1 of

of outrages committed by Japanese soldiers in China.

Q But that was late in January. I am talking now about December and early in January. Did you receive any complaints from any government official, authority, or military authority in Tokyo regarding the conduct of your troops in Nanking?

A I heard nothing.

Q Was there a communication sent to Prince ASAKA, the Commander of the Shanghai Expeditionary Force?

A From where?

Q From Japan.

A I did not hear anything about that either.

Knapp & Kapleau

1

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

O Did you hear about any complaint sent to him from any place whatsoever?

A No. I did not.

Now, after the ceremony on the 17th of Tecember you called your officers together. What officers were these?

A That wasn't on the 17th but on the 18th.

On the 18th I called together all Japanese units stationed in Nanking and held a memorial service.

My intention was to assemble as many officers of the various armies and divisions gathered there as possible, and therefore I ordered all such officers to assemble; so I believe that at least all officers above the rank of regimental commander were there.

Q And why were they assembled?

A That was because on the previous 17th I had received, through my Chief of Staff, the report of the command of the gendarmery in regard to outrages committed by the Japanese soldiers, and I gathered these officers together for the purpose of giving them instructions directly.

Q How long did the atrocities go on in Nanking, General MATSUI? Do you know?

A I don't know. I think most of the outrages were committed immediately after our entry into Nan-

20

21

23 24

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

13 14

15

17

19

20

22

23 24 king.

You heard the evidence of the witness Magee at page 3922 and of the witness Bates at page 2644 of the record in which they said they went on for about six weeks after the fall of the city. Did you know about that?

A I heard their testimony given before this Tribunal, but I don't believe it.

On page 10 of your affidavit you say that not a few of the crimes in Nanking were committed by the Chinese troops and people when the city fell.

How do you know that?

A J knew of that from receiving reports made by Staff Officer NAKAYAMA and Counselor HIDAKA, whom I had sent to Nanking to investigate.

Q And when did you receive the report of that investigation?

A I don't remember when; I believe it was around the middle of January.

And did they in their report mention any crimes committed by Japanese soldiers?

A Yes.

Well, tell me what they reported, please.

A It wasn't a written report. They reported to me orally, so I don't remember the contents of that report too well.

Q Well, tell me what you do remember about it.

A Since they went to Nanking to investigate after the incidents had already broken out, and since they did not catch any person actually in the act, it was a very difficult thing to investigate and their investigations were not exact -- and I was not able to get an exact report.

Q Did you send them back to make a further and better report?

A At the time it was already after the incidents in question had occurred, and it was really almost impossible for the Chief of Staff and for the Foreign Office authorities to make any exact investigations, and the only method left was to order the commanders of the various units to carry on investigations in regard to their respective units, but such reports took quite some time to assemble and collate.

Q And you did not have them when you left China for Japan?

A That is so.

Q The other day the witness OKADA, at page 32,747 of the record, said that he had had a conversation with you in the Metropole Hotel in Nanking on the 18th of December and that you had told him that you were sorry because unknowingly you had wrought a most

2

3

8

9

10

grievous effect upon the city.

Did vou make that statement to OKADA?

A I did. As the witness testified, I had no desire to occupy Shanghai -- I had no desire to occupy Nanking by war. I wished the occupation of Nanking -- the capture of Nanking to be a peaceful one, and I was indeed -- I had no desire to turn Nanking into a field of carnage, and I was most sorry when that happened.

That is all I conveyed to OKADA.

Q And that is what you meant when you said "a most grievous effect upon the city"?

A That is so.

O In your inspection on the 19th of December, to which you make reference in your affidavit, did you go into the refugee quarter?

A I did not enter the refugee zone.

O Then you had no conversation with those refugees, as set out in the affidavit of your witness OKADA?

A It was not in the refugee zone, but in a temple on the top of a mountain -- I have forgotten exactly where -- that I met a couple of refugees and talked with them there.

ACTING PREFIDENT: We will recess for fifteen minutes.

11

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

```
(Whereupon, at 1445, a recess was
1
        taken until 1500, after which the proceedings
2
        were resumed as follows:)
3
4
5
6
7
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

2

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

ACTING PRESIDENT: Brigadier Nolan.

CROSS

BY BRIGADIER NOLAN (Continued):

Q General MATSUI, at the adjournment we were discussing your concern over the grevious effect that your armies had brought about in Manking. The witness NAKAYAMA, page 21,893 of the record, told us that you informed General TSUKADA, the Chief of the Staff, to issue an order to all staff officers and that the order contained these words: "As Manking is the capital of China, our capture of it is an international event. Careful studies must be made in this regard so as to dazzle China even more greatly with Japan's military glory." Did you issue such an order?

A Yes.

Q Now, reverting to the witness HIDAKA, as I understand it, he was a Japanese consul official stationed in Shanghai, is that so?

A Councillor of Embassy.

Q In Shanghai?

A Before the incident the Embassy was located in Nanking.

Q After the incident it was located in Shanghai?

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

A Yes, that is so.

Q Yes. That was the official who received the complaints of the foreign residents of Nanking?

A Yes.

Q Is he the same official whom you asked to make an investigation?

A Yes.

Q And when did you ask for an investigation? Was it in January of 1938?

A Yes.

Q And did you tell me that his report to you was a verbal one?

A Yes.

Q And did he mention to you the fact that he had been receiving constantly reports of complaints of the foreign residents of Nanking?

A I did not hear that but what I had councillor HIDAKA to do principally was to investigate into matters of damages and losses inflicted upon the embassies and legations of the foreign powers in Nanking and to indemnify these damages as well as damages inflicted upon foreigners.

Q Does that include the Chinese?

A Yes.

Q And was it not a fact that he was to

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

13 14

15

16

18

17

19

20

22

23

24

MATSUI

investigate upon the basis of the information contained in the reports of complaints in his possession?

I do not know what method was used as that was not reported to me but I assume that he based his investigations on reports of foreigners in the refugee zone.

Yes, and you knew that such reports were in 9 existence, did you not?

At that time I did not hear of such reports such as have been presented to this Tribunal.

Then why do you say you assume that he 13 predicated his investigation upon such reports?

I am assuming now and after being informed . 15 in this Tribunal that many such reports had been submitted to the Japanese Consul.

All right. In your affidavit on page 10, about the middle of the page, you say that when you inspected the city "we saw only about twenty dead Chinese troops lying on the streets." How many bodies of dead civilians, including women and children, did you see?

I did not see any.

The reason I ask you is because in your interrogation which is exhibit 257 in the case, at

12

16

18

17

20

21

22

23

24

investigate upon the basis of the information contained in the reports of complaints in his possession?

I do not know what method was used as that was not reported to me but I assume that he based his investigations on reports of foreigners in the refugee zone.

Yes, and you knew that such reports were in existence, did you not?

At that time I did not hear of such reports such as have been presented to this Tribunal.

Then why do you say you assume that he predicated his investigation upon such reports?

I am assuming now and after being informed . 15 in this Tribunal that many such reports had been submitted to the Japanese Consul.

All right. In your affidavit on page 10, about the middle of the page, you say that when you inspected the city "we saw only about twenty dead Chinese troops lying on the streets." How many bodies of dead civilians, including women and children, did you see?

I did not see any.

The reason I ask you is because in your interrogation which is exhibit 257 in the case, at

12

10

14

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

3

5

6

8

10

11 12

13 14

16

17

15

18

19

20

21

2223

24

page 3461 of the record, you are asked this question:

"Q You stated that you went in to Nanking on
the 17th. Did you see any bodies of dead civilians,
women or children? Anything of that sort?"

And your answer was:

"A They had all been removed by this time. I saw a few dead Chinese soldiers near the west gate."

Do I understand from that answer that the bodies of the dead civilians, women and children had been removed by the time you got there?

A I do not know of any fact of Chinese women and children being killed within the walls of Nanking; however--

Q Then why did you say in your interrogation that they had all been removed by the time you entered the city?

A I do not know whether I said that or not, but if there had been, it was my idea then that they would naturally have been removed from the scene.

Q Yes. At the bottom of page 10 of your affidavit you say that during your stay in Shanghai after the fall of Nanking until February of 1938 "the only thing I heard was a rumor towards the end of December 1937 to the effect that there were some cases of illegal acts in Nanking but I had received no official

report about such fact." Where did the rumors come from?

3

1

A At that time there were many rumors afloat not only among Chinese but among foreigners of all nationalities and I learned from reports from persons who heard of these rumors.

7

Q And from the newspapers, General MATSUI, also?

8

A Such rumors may have appeared in Chinese newspapers but at that time I do not think, if my recollection is right, that such matters did get into print.

11

12

10

Q Did you see it in any foreign newspaper?

13

A There might have been some in the foreign press but from my careful scrutiny of Chinese and foreign newspapers at that time I did not notice any.

15

16

14

Q You heard the accused MINAMI inform this Tribunal at page 20,015 that the rape of Nanking had been reported in the press of the world. Did you

17

read any of those reports?

20 21

22

23

19

A No, I have not read any such reports but if they had been reported might it not have been reported at a much later date after I had already left Shanghai because when I was in Shanghai I did not notice any.

24

2

3

.

7

9

8

10

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

Q Well, you had a conversation with Mr. Hallett Abend in Shanghai in January 1938?

A Yes, I saw him twice.

Q And you sent for him?

A Having heard various reports at that time I met Mr. Abend to hear from him what he had heard and also on the basis of the facts that I knew to impart the factual information that I had in my possession to him.

Q In other words, you wanted to quell the rumors that were abroad in the land at that time?

A Well, "quell" is hardly the word to be used in such an instance as this. My desire was to see that the truth and the facts was reported.

Q The only reason I use the word is because you used it at page 3,463 of the record, in exhibit 257. You were asked in your interrogation this question:

"Q When did you first see Hallett Abend after the Nanking capture?"

The answer was:

"A I met him in China. I first met Ar. Abend after, perhaps a month after, Nanking."

"Q Did Mr. Abend ask for an interview and get it?

"A No. I requested Mr. Abend to see me as I had

heard rumors and I wished to quell these by putting the facts before Mr. Abend."

Is that what took place, General MATSUI?

A Yes.

Q And what rumors are you referring to when you mention this conversation with Mr. Abend?

A Well, as you, Mr. Prosecutor, have suggested, as referring to the many outrages alleged to have been committed by the Japanese troops in Nanking, and my desire was to tell h.r. Abend of the truth of the situation as I believed it, and although there were many foreign correspondents in Shanghai at the time, I felt that Mr. Abend was the most trustworthy of these correspondents and therefore I met him.

Q Who was alleging that these atrocities had been committed?

1. Well, as to who were talking about these outrages, I cannot say concretely but I should think that the sources of such rumors were for the most part Chinese and foreigners who had heard from Chinese who were passing on the information, perhaps in fun.

Well, apart from the funny side of it, who passed on the information to you?

A Well, who it was I do not now recall, but it was one of my subordinates.

5

8 9

7

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

and not to me.

| Q | Probably your Chief of Staff? |
|-----------|--|
| A | Yes. |
| Ç | Now, you were going to tell Mr bend what |
| the fact: | s were so that there would be no misapprehension |
| about the | true state of affairs? |
| A | Yes. |
| Q | But you had received no report of your |
| investig | etors at this time? |
| A | No, but I had been receiving fragmentary |
| reports. | |
| Q | Fragmentary reports? From whom? |
| A | I am referring to reports of the gendarmerie. |
| Ç | Did you receive more than one report from |
| the genda | armerie? |
| Α | I myself did not receive them directly but |
| my staff | officers were receiving them daily. |
| Q | Daily since the fall of Nanking? |
| Λ | Yes. |
| Q | And those reports were, of course, communica- |
| ted to ye | ou as the Commander in Chief of the army, |
| weren't | they? |
| A A | As the gendarmerie were not my direct subor- |
| | but the subordinates of the Commanders of |
| , | |

) And what did the Commanders of the Armies co with the reports when they received them from he Lempeitai?

A When the facts were clearly unraveled and mown, the offender was tried at a court martial and punished.

Q Now, just to go back a moment: You mention fragmentary reports from the Kempeit in the hands of your staff officers. You mean staff officers on your staff as Commander in Chief, do you not?

A Yes.

And those reports were brought to your knowledge

A Ordinarily discipline and morals within an army was the responsibility of the Division Commander. The Commander of the army above the Division Commanders supervised these Division Commanders and maintained the court martial under his jurisdiction. I was above them. I was the Commander above them and my Area Army Headquarters had no legal organ nor any military police or gendarmeric under its direct control, and therefore reports were not made to my headquarters or to me directly. It would be more proper to say that the facts were brought to my attention or communicated to me for reference purposes.

.

M or se & W h a l e

Q The staff officers on your headquarters were constantly receiving reports from the Kempeitai, were they not?

A It would be more correct to say that inasmuch as I told my staff officers to put these matters under investigation, they went to the Kempeitai to investigate, to get information rather than to receive reports from the Kempeitai, the gendarmerie.

Q And having investigated they came back to your headquarters with the reports?

A At that time as you would understand there was a battle going on, and troops were constantly on the move, and it was not easy to get the facts that you desired to get, and, therefore, it was only natural that any reports that came in were fragmentary and abstract.

Q Well, now, nobody had moved Nanking. It was still there. And what I am trying to get from you is what reports you had as to what was going on in that city from your staff officers, such information being obtained from the Kempeitai?

A Inasmuch as these incidents had already occurred in the past and the reports or information were heard indirectly through various informants, that is, different people, and with troops constantly

M or se & Whale

Q The staff officers on your headquarters were constantly receiving reports from the Kempeitai, were they not?

A It would be more correct to say that inasmuch as I told my staff officers to put these matters under investigation, they went to the Kempeitai to investigate, to get information rather than to receive reports from the Kempeitai, the gendarmerie.

Q And having investigated they came back to your headquarters with the reports?

A At that time as you would understand there was a battle going on, and troops were constantly on the move, and it was not easy to get the facts that you desired to get, and, therefore, it was only natural that any reports that came in were fragmentary and abstract.

Q Well, now, nobody had moved Nanking. It was still there. And what I am trying to get from you is what reports you had as to what was going on in that city from your staff officers, such information being obtained from the Kempeitai?

A Inasmuch as these incidents had already occurred in the past and the reports or information were heard indirectly through various informants, that is, different people, and with troops constantly

MATSUI

6 7

9

11

12 13

15

14

16 17

18

19 20

22

23 24

25

on the move, some in pursuit of the Chinese, some returning to North China, some being deployed to Chekiang, it was of the utmost difficulty to ascertain these facts. And, therefore, it was not possible for me to get any reports which contained -- ascertained the concrete facts.

Then, may I ask you how you were able to give to Mr. Abend in January of 1938 the true facts of the situation?

I talked to Mr. Abend on the basis of the reports which had been communicated to me from the Kempei, or the gendarmerie.

You said something a moment ago about discipline and morals being the responsibility of a subordinate commander to yourself.

The responsibility of the division commander.

You were the Commander-in-Chief of the Central China Area Army, were you not?

Yes.

Are you suggesting to this Tribunal that that power of command did not carry with it the power to enforce discipline on the troops under your command?

As Commander-in-Chief of the Central China Area Army I was given the power to command operations of the two subordinate armies under my command, but I

3

4 5

6

8

10

11

13

14

16

17 18

19

21

22

24

25

did not have the authority directly to handle the discipline and morals within these respective armies.

Q No, but you had the power to see that discipline and morals were maintained in the units under your command?

A It would be better to say, and more correct to say, obligation rather than authority -- obligation or duty.

Q Yes. And that is the reason why you summoned your officers in Nanking after your entry and talked to them about disciplinary measures, is it not?

A Yes.

Q So that you are not attempting to say that the power of discipline was not inherent in your command, are you?

A I do not -- I am not trying, nor do I evade all responsibilities in connection with the capture of Nanking as area commander -- area army commander -- commanding my subordinates. However, I am only trying to tell you that I am not directly responsible for the discipline and morals of the troops under the respective armies under my command.

Q And that is because there is an army commander in the units under your command, and you carry out

3

6

7

9

11

13

16

17

1200

15

18

20

21

22

24

disciplinary measures through your army commanders?

A I, myself, did not have the authority to take disciplinary measures, or to hold court-martial, such authority resided in the commander of the army or the division commander.

Q But you could order a court-martial to be held either in the army or in the division?

A I had no legal right to issue such an order.

Q Well, then, how do you explain your efforts to show that you ordered severe punishment meted out to the guilty for the outrages in Nanking, and that you did everything in your power as Commander of the Central China Area Army to give severe punishment to the guilty?

A I had no authority except to express my desires as over-all Commander-in-Chief to the commander of the army under my command and the divisional commanders thereunder.

Q And I suppose a general officer commanding expresses his desires to those subordinate to him in the form of orders?

A No, that would be difficult in the light of law.

Q Well, when you want those who serve under you to do something, General MATSUI, what do you do about it?

A The suthority that was vested in me was to command -- was the overall operational command of the two armies under me. That was all. Hence it would be a very difficult matter to determine my legal responsibilitys with regard to my -- to the question of discipline and morals and I cannot make any statement, any definite statement, on that at the present time. I cannot make any definite statement on that here.

Q Well, I don't want to argue with you but if your military superiors in Tokyo were dissatisfied with the conduct of your army in China who would they hold responsible?

A As I have said before, it is very difficult to try to determin this legal question and I do not know how the general staff offices in Tokyo felt at that time. However, with regard to this question, while I was in Shanghai or even after I returned to Tokyo there was no time when I was reprimanded by the Chief of the Army General Staff or the War Minister.

Q Well, then, perhaps you will tell me why General HOMMA went out to Shanghai early in February of 1938.

12

16

17

19

20

23

24

25

a

10

11 12

13 14

15 16

(2)

17

18 19

21 22

20

23

24

25

It was only natural that the central army authorities would be greatly worried and under strang misgivings from the reports of outrages committed by the Japanese troops at the time of the capture of Nanking and I, too, naturally shared that misgiving and it was because of that great worry on my part that I instructed the officers under my command to work to rectify any such actions on the part of troops under their command.

In other words you told them that discipline had to be maintained?

A What do you mean by "them"?

I mean those who served under you to whom you issued instructions.

Yes.

All right. Now, did General HOMMA come to your headquarters?

Yes, he did.

and he told you of the rumors or reports that had been received in Tokyo?

No, he did not speak to me at all about particulars.

He spoke to you generally about the conduct of your troops in Nanking, did he not?

Yes.

3

5

6

7 8

9

12

11

13

15

17

20

19

22

24

25

Q Who had told him about it?

A What do you mean by gave him information or told him?

Q As I understand you, General HOMMA came over to China because the higher command were concerned about the conduct of troops in Nanking.

A Yes.

Q Where did he get the information which gave him his concern?

A From what I have heard for the first time here in this Tribunal, I presume that he learned of these matters from the reports sent by the Foreign Office to the Army.

Q You are quite sure that you didn't sent a report to the headquarters in Tokyo, did you, General MATSUI?

A You mean with regard to outrages?

Q Yes.

A No.

Q As a matter of fact you never reported any of these incidents which had come to your attention to the higher command in Tokyo.

A I may have talked about this matter to the General Staff Office after my return to Tokyo but I have never sent an official report.

Q Have you ever sent an unofficial report?

5

7

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

18

19

17

20

22

23

25

A /s far as I remember no reports were made in my capacity as Commander-in-Chief of the Area Army, official or unofficial.

Q Although you did know of some incidents having occurred you didn't report those to Tokyo?

A If there was any necessity of sending reports with regard to the discipline and morals of troops, that authority, or responsibility rather, did not reside in the Commander-in-Chief of the Area Army.

Q "ell, where did it reside?

A I would like to say that this responsibility resided in the division commander.

Q Well, where are the army commanders? Don't they come into this picture?

A Legally that would be difficult to say and in my interpretation any responsibility naturally resided in the division commander whose responsibility it would be to send reports to the central army authorities through their immediate superior, the commander of the army.

Q Ther just one last question on this phase:
The divisional commander would send a report through
his army commander through the commander-in-chief of
the armies to Tokyo?

A I connot speak of this definitely from the legal

standpoint whether the division commander should send such reports through his immediate superior, that is, the commander of the army, or by channeling it through the Commander-in-Chief of the Area Army. It is difficult for me legally speaking to make any clear statement on that.

CROSS

Q Well, in any event, however they were channeled, they went through the Commander-in-Chief of the Central China Area Army?

A Well, I can't say whether it is legally correct or not but, as a matter of fact, such reports were not sent by me.

ACTING PRESIDENT: We will adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9:30.

(Whereupon, at 1600, an adjournment was taken until Trackey. 25 November 1947, at 0930.)